

'Anti-laser' built for first time

19:00 17 February 2011 by Jeff Hecht

An anti-laser – which absorbs light rather than emitting it – has been built for the first time.

A laser shines by producing a cascade of photons that bounce around inside a light-amplifying material before exiting from one or both ends. In 2010, Douglas Stone at Yale University and colleagues devised a way to reverse the process, with a material that absorbs rather than amplifies light.

The researchers calculated that if they used a light-absorbing material like silicon, then at certain wavelengths, two identical laser beams shone directly at each other would cancel out inside the material.

Now, a team led by Hui Cao of Yale has done just that using a 110micrometre-wide slab of silicon.

The researchers chose the wavelength of the laser light so that light waves hitting the outside of the slab from the laser beams were in just the right phase with the waves transmitted through the material to trap the light inside the slab.

The silicon absorbed 99.4 per cent of near-infrared light with a wavelength of 998.5 nanometres, turning it into heat. "Theory and experiment matched very well," says Stone. "We couldn't have expected to do any better."

Future computers may use light to transmit signals efficiently between their chip processors. Anti-lasers could be used to modulate the intensity of that light, or to convert light signals into electrical form for on-chip processing, the researchers say.

Journal reference: Science, vol 331, p 889



If you would like to reuse any content from New Scientist, either in print or online, please contact the syndication department first for permission. New Scientist does not ow n rights to photos, but there are a variety of licensing options available for use of articles and graphics we own the copyright to.







In an anti-laser, or coherent perfect absorber, the outgoing laser beams are replaced by incoming ones, and light flows into a light-absorbing material instead of out of a light-amplifying one (Image: Science/AAAS)

Enlarge image

ADV ERTISEMENT

25 February

ADVERT

More Latest news

Light's speed limit is safe for now



11:29 24 February 2012 Nearly six months on from the faster-than-light neutrino sensation we finally seem to have a

Have your say

Only subscribers may leave comments on this article. Please log in.

email:	
password:	
	Remember me 🔲
	Log in

Only personal subscribers may leave comments on this article

Subscribe now to comment.

The Rube Goldberg Way

Mon Feb 21 16:11:23 GMT 2011 by Freederick

So the device captures light and converts it into heat. Gee whiz. There are scores of ways to do this: all you have to do is shine the laser into an absorbing medium.

The method described here offers no advantage over a regular absorbing medium (a piece of glass tinted with black particulate, for instance, or just a cavity in a charcoal block). On the other hand it offers two significant disadvantages: 1). it will only capture efficiently laser light at the precise wavelength it was designed for, and 2). it needs to be illuminated from both sides with precise alignment and phase matching.

So, essentially, it is a Rube Goldberg machine to do what a simple cavity would do as well or better.

login and reply report this comment

The Rube Goldberg Way Tue Feb 22 01:08:10 GMT 2011 by Brendt

I think you missed one point. This would allow for switching - just turn off one of the lasers and the other transmits through. this allows for controlled darkening at high switching speeds, and absolute essential if it is to be used in a future optical computer.

login and reply report this comment

The Rube Goldberg Way Tue Feb 22 10:48:37 GMT 2011 by Freederick

"just turn off one of the lasers and the other transmits through"

That would work if the switching laser was off to the side--but unfortunately it has to be head-on. Also, the switching laser must be of the same type and strength as the laser being switched, so there's no amplification. You have to switch off / dim the switching laser in the first place in order to switch off / dim the identical switched laser. There is no gain, and no point.

login and reply

```
report this comment
```

possible explanation, says Robert Garisto

Blame dark matter underdog for mystery missing lithium



16:26 23 February 2012 Ancient stars suggest there was too little lithium in the early universe. Perhaps cold, light dark

matter held back its production

Was speeding neutrino claim a human error?



14:17 23 February 2012 The shocking result that neutrinos can apparently travel faster than the speed of light may have been due

to a malfunctioning fibre-optic cable

Nash's beautiful mind pre-empted million-dollar puzzle



17:19 21 February 2012 In recently declassified letters, Nobel laureate John Nash penned ideas about cryptography and

computational complexity decades before their time

see all related stories

Most read Most commented

Light's speed limit is safe for now

Astrophile: The relativity-testing supernova next door

Iran is top of the world in science growth

New cancer drug sabotages tumour's escape route

Metaphorical search engine finds creative new meanings

TWITTER

New Scientist is on Twitter



Get the latest from New Scientist: sign up to our Twitter feed

LATEST JOBS

I-Pharm Consulting Ltd: Director of Clinical Project Management, Oncology

I-Pharm Consulting Ltd: Director -Clinical Operations Quality Management - Major CRO 'Anti-laser' built for first time - physics-math - 17 February 2011 - New Scientist

The Rube Goldberg Way

Tue Feb 22 10:52:54 GMT 2011 by Freederick

P.S. It's essentially a logic gate for carrying out the identity operation. Not very useful, right?

login and reply rep

report this comment

The Rube Goldberg Way

Tue Feb 22 13:40:43 GMT 2011 by Freederick

P.P.S. Grrr... the NOT operation actually--my bad. Still, it doesn't change my point.

login and reply report this comment

The Rube Goldberg Way Tue Feb 22 14:46:03 GMT 2011 by Oji

If it is a NOT operation, then that is 50% of the components required to build any combinatorial logic function!

I think you are being unnecessarily harsh on a piece of new research. Who knows what it might lead to? (Including, perhaps, nothing)

login and reply report this comment

The Rube Goldberg Way Wed Feb 23 10:38:19 GMT 2011 by Oji

Actually, wouldn't it be an XOR function?

login and reply report this comment

2 more replies

view thread

All comments should respect the New Scientist House Rules. If you think a particular comment breaks these rules then please use the "Report" link in that comment to report it to us.

If you are having a technical problem posting a comment, please contact technical support.

search New Scientist		G	Go		Logi
About us	User Help	Subscriptions	Links	Science Jobs	
New Scientist	Contact Us	Subscribe	Site Map	Biology Jobs	

www.newscientist.com/article/dn20147-antilaser-built-for-first-time.html

I-Pharm Consulting Ltd: Clinical Operations Quality Manager - Major CRO

I-Pharm Consulting Ltd: Senior Project Manager LATE PHASE CLINICAL RESEARCH

Key People Pharmaceutical: Medical Information Officer

2/27/12	
2/2//12	

'Anti-laser' built for first time - physics-math - 17 February 2011 - New Scientist

Syndication
Recruitment Advertising
Staff at New Scientist
Advertise
RBI Jobs

FAQ / Help Disclaimer Ts & Cs Cookies Privacy Policy Renew Gift subscription My account Back issues Customer Service Browse all articles Magazine archive NewScientistJobs The LastWord RSS Feeds Online Store Android App Mobile site home Chemistry Jobs Clinical Jobs Sales Jobs Earth & Environment Jobs Engineering Jobs Maths & IT Jobs Graduate Jobs

© Copyright Reed Business Information Ltd.